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In Brief
Deng et al. show that Yap1 differentially regulates chondrocyte differentiation in both skeletal development and bone repair. Yap1 requires Teads binding for direct regulation of Sox6 expression to promote early chondrocyte proliferation. Yap1 inhibits Col10a1 expression through interaction with Runx2 during chondrocyte maturation.
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SUMMARY

Hippo signaling controls organ size and tissue regeneration in many organs, but its roles in chondrocyte differentiation and bone repair remain elusive. Here, we demonstrate that Yap1, an effector of Hippo pathway inhibits skeletal development, postnatal growth, and bone repair. We show that Yap1 regulates chondrocyte differentiation at multiple steps in which it promotes early chondrocyte proliferation but inhibits subsequent chondrocyte maturation both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, we find that Yap1 requires Teads binding for direct regulation of Sox6 expression to promote chondrocyte proliferation. In contrast, Yap1 inhibits chondrocyte maturation by suppression of Col10a1 expression through interaction with Runx2. In addition, Yap1 also governs the initiation of fracture repair by inhibition of cartilaginous callus tissue formation. Taken together, our work provides insights into the mechanism by which Yap1 regulates endochondral ossification, which may help the development of therapeutic treatment for bone regeneration.

INTRODUCTION

Endochondral ossification is an important process for both skeletal development and bone repair. In the long bones, cartilage anlage is first formed by mesenchymal condensation, followed by chondrocyte differentiation and maturation and subsequently bone mineralization (Kronenberg, 2003). Similarly, bone repair employs similar mechanism to regenerate new bone tissues after injury (Bolander, 1992; Bruder et al., 1994; Deschaseaux et al., 2009; Einhorn, 1998; Ferguson et al., 1999; Wang and Boyapati, 2006). For instance, during fracture healing, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) migrate to the wound site and differentiate into chondroblasts and chondrocytes. These cells then undergo proliferation, hypertrophy, and mineralization to form cartilaginous callus tissues. New bone is then deposited, and the process is completed through bone remodeling that restores normal shape and function of the bones. These sequential steps are concomitantly regulated by key transcription factors that are highly conserved during skeletal development. Sox9 is a major regulator for early chondrogenesis (Lefebvre and de Crombrugghe, 1998). It promotes chondrocyte differentiation and cooperatively interacts with transcriptional factors Sox5 and Sox6 for chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation (Akiyama et al., 2002; Smits et al., 2001). Chondrocyte matrix components such as Aggrecan and Col2a1 are then sequentially expressed (Han and Lefebvre, 2008; Lefebvre et al., 1998). Runx2, a major regulator of chondrocyte hypertrophy (Takeda et al., 2001), subsequently expressed and regulates the expression of its target genes such as Col10a1 for successive chondrocyte maturation (Zheng et al., 2003). Many signaling pathways are implicated in the chondrocyte differentiation process to tightly regulate the pace of cartilage and bone formation during skeletal development and fracture healing. For instance, Ihh (Vortkamp et al., 1998), Pth (Ellegaard et al., 2010), Bmp (Tsuji et al., 2006), and Wnt/β-catenin (Huang et al., 2012) signaling all contribute to both processes. However, bone repair is not as efficient as skeletal development by activation of these pathways suggesting that the regulatory mechanisms for these processes are more complicated than expected and additional signaling interactions may be involved for the process.
Hippo signaling controls organ size (Pan, 2007; Song et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2009) and tissue regeneration in many organs (Heallen et al., 2013; Karpowicz et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011). Central to this pathway is a kinase cascade consisting of Mst1/2, Sav, Lats1/2, and Mats in the mammalian system. When the Hippo signaling is active, a series of phosphorylation events via Msts and Lats kinases occurs and ultimately leads to the phosphorylation of Yap1, a key effector of the pathway (Halder and Johnson, 2011; Pan, 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). Phosphorylated Yap1 is sequestered in the cytoplasm, which inhibits its transcriptional activity. In contrast, inactivation of the Hippo pathway increases Yap1 nuclear translocation. It then interacts with Tead/Tef family transcription factors to activate Hippo target genes that are responsible for cell proliferation and apoptosis. Other than Teads, it has been recently shown that Yap1 is also able to interact with many other transcription factors for the crosstalk with different signaling pathways (Alarcón et al., 2009; Espanel and Sudol, 2001; Fernandez-L et al., 2009; Rosenbluh et al., 2012), suggesting that Yap1 serves as a regulator to integrate multiple signaling cascades during many biological processes. In the context of the bone, Zaidi et al. previously showed that Yap1 mediated by Src/Yes tyrosine kinase signaling interacts with Runx2 to regulate Osteocalcin expression (Zaidi et al., 2004). In vitro studies also demonstrate that Yap1 inhibits osteogenesis from bone-marrow-derived MSCs (Seo et al., 2013). In contrast, high Yap1 activities in MSCs promote osteogenesis (Dupont et al., 2011). However, whether Hippo signaling or Yap1 regulates chondrocyte differentiation and bone repair remain largely unclear.

Here, we investigated the roles of Yap1 in regulating chondrocyte differentiation and maturation during skeletal development, postnatal growth, and bone repair. We found that Yap1 promotes early chondrocyte proliferation but inhibits chondrocyte hypertrophy and maturation both in vitro and in vivo, but its effect is more pronounced during bone repair. Specifically, Yap1 attenuates endochondral ossification during both skeletal development and fracture healing. Our findings provide insights into the regulatory mechanisms in chondrocyte differentiation for bone formation and repair.

RESULTS

Yap1 Expression Gradually Reduces during Chondrocyte Differentiation

To study the roles of Yap1 during skeletal development, we first examined the endogenous expression pattern of Yap1 in the long bones during endochondral bone formation. In the growth plates of mouse embryos, we found that Yap1 was expressed in resting and proliferative chondrocytes but less in hypertrophic chondrocytes at E14.5 (Figures 1A and S1). In contrast, the expression of phosphorylated Yap1 was strongest in the hypertrophic chondrocytes where Col10a1 and Runx2 expression...
were detected. It suggests that Yap1 activity was gradually reduced during chondrocyte maturation. We also performed micromass culture using chondroprogenitor cells isolated from the limb buds of E12.5 wild-type embryos (Figure 1B). During chondrocyte differentiation, chondrocyte markers such as Col2a1, Ihh, and Col10a1 were increased and peaked at around day 5 to day 7 and gradually reduced thereafter (Figure 1C). Runx2 expression was peaked at day 10. Interestingly, Yap1 expression was gradually reduced along with cartilage nodule formation while the expression level of phosphorylated Yap1 was gradually increased (Figures 1D and 1E). These expression patterns were consistent with the expressions observed in the growth plates. We also examined the expression pattern of Yap1 paralog Taz (Figure 1E). However, its expression was gradually increased during cartilage nodule formation in micromass culture, which was opposite to that of total Yap1 expression. Altogether, both in vivo and in vitro data suggest that Yap1 plays a functional role in regulating chondrocyte differentiation.

**Yap1 Inhibits Skeletal Development and Postnatal Growth**

To examine the functional roles of Yap1 in vivo, we generated transgenic mice in which Yap1 was overexpressed in chondrocytes as well as osteoprogenitor cells under the control of Col2a1 promoter and enhancer (Long et al., 2001). Two transgenic lines (TG01 and TG02) were generated (Figure S2A), and both of them showed Yap1 overexpression in all chondrocytes, but not other tissues being tested (Figures S2B–S2E). Since TG01 displayed a higher level of Yap1 expression and therefore it was selected for subsequent analyses and named as Col2a1-Yap1tg/+.

Surprisingly, the Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ transgenic mice showed mild skeletal abnormalities at birth with the skeleton of normal size and shape as compared to that of the control littermates (Figure 2A), suggesting that endochondral bone formation was largely unaffected. The subtle phenotypes during early skeletal development suggest that the developing skeleton is either insensitive to Yap1 overexpression or the overexpression level of Yap1 is not strong enough. We therefore further increased Yap1 overexpression by self-crossing the Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ transgenic line to generate a homozygous Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic mice (Figure S2F). The transgene copy number was doubled in the homozygous transgenic mice (Figure S2G), and the expression of Yap1 in chondrocytes was much stronger than that of the heterozygous Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ transgenic mice (Figures S2H–S2J) while the expression of Taz was unaffected (Figure S2H).

Interestingly, only homozygous Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic chondrocytes showed a significant upregulation of Hippo target genes Cyr61 and Ctgf, albeit a trend of increase expression was observed in the heterozygous group (Figures S2I and S2J). This may explain the mild skeletal phenotypes observed in the Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ transgenic mice. The homozygous Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic mice displayed a markedly smaller skeleton size than that of the heterozygous transgenic mouse (Figure 2A). Close histologic examination of the long bones revealed that all the chondrocyte zones were present in the transgenic groups and the overall length of the growth plates was progressively shorter with increasing Yap1 overexpression (Figure 2B).

Similar results were observed in the vertebral columns (Figure S2K). Next, we examined the maturation of terminally differentiated hypertrophic chondrocytes by von Kossa staining (Figures 2C and S2L). Both the growth plates from the long bones and the vertebral columns displayed reduction of mineralization of hypertrophic chondrocytes at the chondro-osseous junctions. Consistently both Col10a1 and Mmp13 expression were also reduced in the long bone sections (Figures 2D and 2E). These data suggest that chondrocyte hypertrophy was delayed in vivo and that Yap1 inhibits chondrocyte maturation during skeletal development.

We asked whether postnatal skeletal growth of the Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic mice was also affected. We observed that the secondary ossification center in the long bones of the Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic mice was delayed starting from 1 week of age (Figure 2F). Subchondral bone region was also significantly smaller at 4 weeks old (Figure 2G). The overall bone mass accrual was significantly lower when compared with their age-matched wild-type littermates (Figures 2H and 2I). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the phenotypes may be also in part contributed by osteoblasts as Col2a1+ cells also expressed in early perichondrium. Altogether, our data suggest that Yap1 inhibits endochondral ossification during skeletal development and growth.

To examine whether Yap1 is required for skeletal development and bone growth, we generated Yap1c/c;Col2a1-Cre mutant mice. Skeletal preparation at both E16.5 and E18.5 showed that the Yap1c/c;Col2a1-Cre mutant mice was slightly bigger in size than that of the age-matched wild-type littermates (Figures 3A–3H). Mild increases of mineralized tissues were observed. Histologic examinations revealed that the mutant growth plates were progressively longer than that of the controls with age (Figures 3I, 3K, and 3M). The expression of hypertrophic markers Col10a1 and Runx2 were increased in the mutant growth plates (Figure 3J), and mineralization was also significantly increased as revealed by von Kossa staining (Figures 3L and 3N). These data mirrored the phenotypes of the Col2a1-Yap1tg/tg transgenic mice. Altogether, our data strongly indicate that Yap1 inhibits chondrocyte maturation and endochondral ossification during bone development.

**Yap1 Promotes Early Chondroprogenitor Cell Proliferation**

To investigate the mechanistic actions of Yap1 during chondrocyte differentiation and maturation, we first examined its roles in early events of chondrogenesis by manipulating Yap1 expression in MSCs isolated from mouse bone marrows with lentiviruses (Figures S3A–S3C). The proliferation rate of MSCs was greatly increased when Yap1 was overexpressed for 72 hr as revealed by MTT assay (Figure 4A). Colony formation assay also showed a significant increase of the number of colonies (Figures 4B and 4C). Gene expression of stemness markers such as Sox2, Pou5f1, and Klf4 were all significantly upregulated (Figure 4D). In contrast, knockdown of Yap1 displayed mirror phenotypes of the overexpression studies (Figures 4E–4H). We also performed the colony formation assay using chondroprogenitor cell line ATDC5, and consistent results were obtained (Figures S3D and S3E). These results indicate that Yap1 is required for chondroprogenitor cell proliferation and maintenance. Similarly,
knockdown of Taz also showed consistent results in cell proliferation and colony formation as in Yap1 knockdown (Figures S3F–S3K). It suggests that both Yap1 and Taz play similar roles in regulating early chondroprogenitor cell proliferation. In addition, Yap1c/c;Prx1-Cre mutant mice, in which Yap1 was removed in early limb bud mesenchyme, also displayed a significant smaller skeleton (Figures S3L–S3N). This is mainly a consequence of reduced proliferation of early chondroprogenitor cells. Taken together, our data indicate that Yap1 plays a positive role in regulating early chondroprogenitor cell proliferation.

Yap1 Promotes Chondrocyte Proliferation by Regulation of Sox6 Expression through Teads Binding

Next, we investigate the roles of Yap1 in chondrocyte proliferation by examining phosphohistone-3 (PH-3) expression in the Col2a1-Yap1 transgenic mice. Interestingly, chondrocyte...
proliferation was increased in the growth plates of both transgenic groups (Figures 5A and 5B). Similar results were obtained in the primary chondrocytes isolated from the transgenic mice as well (Figures 5C and 5D). To understand the targets regulated by Yap1 during chondrocyte proliferation, we search for genes involved in the regulation of chondrocyte proliferation. Sox6 is reported to be responsible for regulating chondrocyte proliferation (Smits et al., 2001), and we found multiple tentative Tead binding sites in the promoter region of Sox6 (Figure 5E). Teads are transcriptional factors required for Yap1 binding to DNA (Vassilev et al., 2001). We therefore performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay using primary chondrocytes isolated from the Col2a1-Yap1Ts/7 transgenic mice. DNA of the specific Tead binding regions was amplified after immunoprecipitation with Yap1 antibodies. A strong PCR band was detected in the BS2 region of the Sox6 promoter (Figure 5F), suggesting that Yap1/Teads complexes induce Sox6 expression. To confirm this finding, we examined Sox6 expression in the Col2a1-Yap1Ts/7 transgenic chondrocytes isolated from the growth plates of the long bones. As expected, transgenic chondrocytes displayed strong upregulation of Sox6 expression (Figure 5G). Similarly, we overexpressed Yap1 in primary chondrocytes and found that the expression of Sox6 was upregulated (Figure 5H). In contrast, knockdown of Yap1 resulted in a significant reduction of Sox6 expression (Figure 5H). Furthermore, dual luciferase assay using reporter plasmids with promoter region 1.2 kb upstream of the transcription start site of Sox6 showed consistent results with both Yap1 overexpression and knockdown studies (Figures 5I–5J). Knockdown of Sox6 in primary chondrocyte of Col2a1-Yap1Ts/7 transgenic mice (Figure S4B) displayed significantly reduced chondrocyte proliferation (Figures 5K and S4C). Similarly, knockdown of Yap1 also showed reduced chondrocyte proliferation (Figures 5L, S4D, and S4E). However, when Sox6 was overexpressed, the reduced chondrocyte proliferation was rescued to a similar level as in the control. These findings indicate that Yap1 mediates its effect at least in part through Sox6 in promoting chondrocyte proliferation.

In order to test whether the effect of Yap1 on Sox6 expression is dependent on Teads binding, we overexpressed a mouse Yap1S79A mutant (same mutation as human Yap1S94A) in chondrocytes in which the binding site with Teads was mutated. The effects of Yap1 on Sox6 expression were abolished in both the RNA and protein levels when mutant Yap1S79A was expressed (Figures 5M, 5N, and S4F). Dual luciferase assay using the same Sox6 reporter plasmids also showed a significant reduction of luciferase activities similar to the background level (Figure 5O). In addition, ChIP assay revealed that the enrichment of BS2 region of the Sox6 promoter was significantly reduced with Yap1S79A (Figure 5P). These data indicate that Teads binding is necessary for Yap1 to regulate Sox6 expression. Collectively, our results indicate that Yap1 promotes early chondrocyte proliferation through regulation of Sox6 expression in a Teads-dependent manner.

Yap1 Inhibits Chondrocyte Maturation by Regulation of Col10a1 Expression through Runx2 Interaction

Although early cell proliferation was increased by Yap1 overexpression, the growth plates of Col2a1-Yap1 transgenic groups were significantly smaller as compared to their respective controls suggesting that chondrocyte maturation was remarkably
impaired. To further confirm the phenotypes, we isolated limb bud cells from the Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ transgenic embryos and performed micromass culture. We found that cartilage nodule formation and maturation was greatly delayed at day 7 (Figure S5A). The delay was more pronounced at day 14. Gene expression of Col2a1, Aggrecan, and Col10a1 were all significantly downregulated (Figure S5B) indicating that chondrocyte maturation was inhibited. Micromass culture using wild-type limb bud cells with Yap1 adenovirus infection showed similar results (Figures 6A, 6B, 5C, and 5D). In contrast, knockdown of Yap1 increased cartilage nodule formation, and all chondrocyte markers being examined were upregulated (Figures 6C and 6D). Consistently, micromass culture using ATDC5 cell line also showed similar results (Figures S5E–S5H). Taken together, our results indicate that Yap1 inhibits chondrocyte differentiation and maturation both in vivo and in vitro. However, knockdown of Taz in micromass culture showed slower maturation (Figure S5I). Chondrocyte maturation markers were all downregulated in micromass culture as well as in primary chondrocytes with shTaz transduction (Figures S5J and S5K). In contrast, Taz overexpression in primary chondrocytes increased their expressions (Figure S5L). These data indicate that Yap1 and Taz function paradoxically opposite with each other in regulating chondrocyte maturation.

To identify the mechanistic roles of Yap1 in inhibiting chondrocyte maturation, we first examined the expression of hypertrophic marker Col10a1. We found that overexpression of Yap1 decreased Col10a1 expression (Figure 6E), which was consistent with our in vivo results (Figure 2D). In contrast, knockdown of Yap1 relieved the suppression of Col10a1 expression (Figure 6F). It has been previously reported that Runx2 is a key regulator for Col10a1 expression and chondrocyte hypertrophy (Takeda et al., 2001) in which Runx2 promotes Col10a1 expression (Figure 6G). Thus, we overexpressed Yap1 in the presence of Runx2 in primary chondrocytes to examine the changes of Col10a1 expression (Figure 6H). Similar to previous observations, Yap1 overexpression inhibited Runx2-induced Col10a1 expression. Next, we cloned a 1.5-kb promoter region upstream of the transcription start site of Col10a1 to the luciferase reporter plasmids for dual luciferase assay. We showed that Runx2 expression resulted in a dose-dependent increase of the luciferase activities in wild-type primary chondrocytes (Figure 6I). In agreement with our previous results, Yap1 overexpression in primary chondrocytes inhibited luciferase activities of Col10a1, while knockdown of Yap1 enhanced it (Figure 6K). When we co-transfected Runx2 with Yap1, the Runx2-induced luciferase activities of Col10a1 was suppressed (Figure 6K). Further increase of Yap1 expression revealed a dose-dependent inhibitory effect (Figure 6L). In contrast, when Yap1 was knocked down, the Col10a1 luciferase activities were further enhanced as compared to the Runx2 alone group (Figure 6K). Similarly, we repeated these experiments using 293T cell lines and consistent results were obtained (Figures S6A and S6B). Furthermore,
increased expression of Runx2 was able to rescue the inhibitory effects of Yap1 (Figure S6C). We also showed that Runx2 co-localized with Yap1 in primary chondrocytes (Figure 6M). Immunoprecipitation with Yap1 antibodies also displayed positive interaction of Runx2 in primary chondrocytes (Figure 6N). Reciprocally, pull-down of Runx2 revealed a positive interaction with Yap1 (Figure 6O). Next, we determined whether the binding of Runx2 with Yap1 requires Teads. Interestingly, immunoprecipitation assay showed that Tead1 overexpression significantly reduced the binding of Yap1 with Runx2 (Figure S6D). This suggests that Runx2 competes with Teads for Yap1 interaction during maturation. We further performed a ChIP assay in the promoter region of Col10a1 where three Runx2 binding sites were found (Figure 6P) (Li et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2003). ChIP assay using Runx2 antibodies revealed that Yap1 overexpression reduced the enrichment of PCR bands in BS-2 and BS-3 (Figure 6Q). It suggests that Yap1 competes for Runx2 and releasing Runx2 from chromatin. Interestingly, increasing expression of Taz progressively induced the luciferase activities of Col10a1 in the presence of Runx2 (Figure S6E), which is in sharp contrast to Yap1 function. Overexpression of Taz also enhanced the binding of Runx2 to BS-1 domain of the Col10a1 promoter region (Figure 6Q). All these data indicate that Taz competes with Yap1 for Runx2 interaction in order to modulate the expression of Col10a1 to control chondrocyte maturation. Altogether, our data indicate that Yap1 inhibits chondrocyte maturation by regulating Col10a1 expression through interaction with Runx2.

**Yap1 Overexpression or Inactivation of Hippo Signaling Impairs Cartilaginous Callus Formation during Bone Repair**

To gain further insights of Yap1 in postnatal skeletal function and given that appropriate and controlled chondrocyte maturation play fundamental roles in the initial steps of bone repair, we therefore created standardized fractures in the Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice and the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice, respectively (Figure 7). Both Mst1 and Mst2 kinases were genetically removed simultaneously at very early limb mesenchyme using Dermo1-Cre mouse line (Yu et al., 2003) that resulted in Yap1 activation as shown by reduced phosphorylated Yap1 (Figure S7A). Consistent with the Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice, cell proliferation was significantly increased in the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c chondrocytes after Cre adenosivus infection (Figures S7B and S7C). Mild delayed of chondrocyte hypertrophy was observed in the long bones of the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice (Figures S7D and S7E). All the skeletal phenotypes in the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice strongly resembled to the heterozygous Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice. Thus, we used both animal models to study bone repair. Two weeks after fracture injury, radiographs from wild-type mice showed obvious callus formation at the site of fracture with significant amount of cartilage formation (Figures 7A, 7B, 7G, and 7H). However, cartilaginous callus formation in the Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice and the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice were significantly impaired (Figures 7A, 7B, 7G, and 7H). Only a residual amount of chondrocytes were observed as shown by Safranin O staining. Micro-CT analyses further revealed that both bone volume and bone surface of the callus tissues were significantly reduced (Figures 7E, 7F, 7J, and 7K). Histological sections showed that transgenic chondrocytes were less mature than that of the wild-type control as revealed by less Safranin O staining intensity (Figure 7B) and less proteoglycan contents as revealed by reduction of Aggrecan expression (Figure 7D). Sox9 expression level was largely unchanged in both immunohistochemistry and qRT-PCR analyses, but Col2a1 and Col10a1 expression was significantly reduced (Figures 7C and 7D). Similar gene expression pattern was also observed in the callus tissues isolated from the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice (Figure S7I), and the phenotypes were generally more severe as compared to that of the Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice. Collectively, our data indicate that fracture healing is greatly impaired with Yap1 overexpression due to early inhibition of cartilaginous callus formation during endochondral ossification.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we present mechanistic functions of Yap1 in regulating chondrocyte differentiation during skeletal development, postnatal growth, and fracture repair. We found that Yap1 regulates multiple steps in the chondrocyte lineage in which Yap1 promotes early chondrocyte proliferation but inhibits subsequent chondrocyte maturation. As a result, endochondral ossification is inhibited. Strikingly, bone repair is remarkably impaired that most of the chondrocytes are maintained at an immature state in the cartilaginous callus tissues.

It is interesting that the function of Yap1 in bone repair is much more significant than that of skeletal development and skeletal growth because the bone fracture phenotypes were already very obvious when using the heterozygous Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice. In contrast, only homozygous Col2a1-Yap1
tg/+ transgenic mice demonstrated severe bone defects during skeletal development and growth. These results indicate that Yap1 plays a more prominent role during bone regeneration and repair. The discrepancies of the phenotypes of Yap1 overexpression between bone repair and skeletal development can be reconciled by the fact that many other signaling pathways are highly active during embryonic bone development (Long and Ornitz, 2013). For instance, Ihh and Pthih signaling (St-Jacques et al., 1999; Vortkamp et al., 1996) play major roles to control the pace of chondrocyte proliferation, hypertrophy, and maturation during endochondral bone formation. However, these signaling activities gradually reduce after birth (Mak et al., 2008a; Tsukazaki et al., 1995). In contrast, the expression and activities of Hippo core components such as Lats and Msts gradually increase along with bone maturation (Song et al., 2012) suggesting that Hippo signaling is more important in regulating adult bone homeostasis. Consistently, we also observed that endogenous expression of Yap1 is reduced after birth (Figure 1B). Thus, Mst kinases should be constitutively active to suppress Yap1 functions in postnatal bone homeostasis. Therefore, overexpression of Yap1 during bone repair resulted in a more pronounced phenotype than that of skeletal development.

In fact, the overall inhibitory function of Yap1 in skeletal development and callus formation is somewhat paradoxical to its role in promoting cell growth and survival as in many soft tissues as
Figure 5. Sox6 Is a Direct Target of Yap1 in Promoting Chondrocyte Proliferation

(A) Fluorescent immunohistochemistry of phosphohistone-3 (PH3) of tibial growth plates of respective mice at day 1 as shown (n = 3 per group). Scale bars, 250 μm.

(B) Statistical analysis of PH3 positive cells in (A).

(C) PH3 staining using primary chondrocytes isolated from respective mice as shown. Scale bars, 50 μm.

(D) Statistical analysis of PH3 positive cells in (C).

(E) Schematic diagram of tentative Teads binding sites in the Sox6 promoter (TSS, transcription start site; BS, binding site).

(legend continued on next page)
well as during tumorigenesis. We demonstrate that Yap1 promotes early chondrocyte proliferation, which is consistent to its known functions as in other cell contexts in soft tissues. However, the increase of extracellular matrix (ECM) stiffness in cartilage tissues may affect the functional outcomes mediated by Yap1. It has been reported that ECM stiffness regulates Yap1 activity (Dupont et al., 2011) and Yap1 is also required for cell-cell contact inhibition (Zhao et al., 2007). The highly rigid skeletal environment may therefore interfere with the cellular responses of Yap1 activities, which results in different phenotypic outcomes in the skeletal tissues, which lead to inhibition of chondrocyte maturation. In addition, previous study also demonstrates that Yap1 can function as a suppressor of Osteocalcin activation in osseous cells (Zaidi et al., 2004). Given the highly related lineages of chondrocytes and osteoblasts in the skeletal system, it is not surprising that Yap1 employs similar mechanisms in regulating chondrocyte maturation by suppression of Col10a1 expression. However, the upstream signaling cascades that regulate Yap1 activities are different. Our work here demonstrates a possible role of Hippo pathway mediated by Yap1 in chondrocyte differentiation because the Mst1/c, Mst2/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice also display similar phenotypes as the Col2a1-Yap1+/− transgenic mice. However, the phenotypes from the Mst1/c, Mst2/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice was more severe, which can be accounted for by the fact that not only chondrocyte lineage was being affected, but Mst1/2 inactivation in the osteoblast lineage may also have contributed to the phenotypes.

Apart from chondrocyte differentiation, Yap1 also determines alternate cell-fate choices between osteogenesis and adipogenesis from MSCs (Seo et al., 2013). Both Yap1 knockdown and Yap1 overexpression impair adipogenesis. However, Yap1 overexpression blocks osteogenesis through binding to β-catenin and induces Wnt antagonist Dkk1. In line with this, our work here also demonstrates that the level of Yap1 has to be exquisitely controlled in the chondrocyte lineage for proper chondrocyte differentiation since Yap1 regulates both chondrocyte proliferation and hypertrophy simultaneously. It is important to note that, other than Yap1, its close paralog Taz is also an effector of Hippo pathway. Taz co-activates Runx2-dependent gene transcription to promote osteogenesis and suppresses Ppary to inhibit adipogenesis (Cui et al., 2003; Hong et al., 2005). We showed that Taz similarly promotes chondroprogenitor cell proliferation as Yap1. However, Taz function in opposite with Yap1 in regulating chondrocyte maturation. It remains unclear how Hippo signaling precisely coordinates the differential effects of Yap1 and Taz in the regulation of chondro, osteo, and adipose lineages. It is interesting to further investigate the interplay between Yap1 and Taz in regulating cell-fate commitment. To conclude, our work identifies mechanistic functions of Yap1 in regulating chondrocyte differentiation and, in particular, its prominent effect during bone repair, which may be implicated for the development of therapeutics for fracture healing.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Generation of Mouse Strains**

The Mst1 (Lu et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010), Mst2 (Lu et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010), Yap1 (Xin et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2010), Col2a1-Cre (Ovchinnikov et al., 2000), and Dermo1-Cre (Yu et al., 2003) mouse lines have been described previously. Col2a1-Yap1+/− transgenic lines were generated (CS7B86 x CBA) using Col2a1 promoter and enhancer as described (Long et al., 2001). Full-length mouse Yap1 cDNA (isform2) was cloned under the control of the Col2a1 promoter and enhancer. Two independent transgenic lines were generated by pronuclear injection. The line TG01 with the highest expression of Yap1 in the cartilage was selected for most of the studies. Homozygous Col2a1-Yap1+/− transgenic line was generated by self-crossing TG01 heterozygous transgenic mice, which gave rise to a more severe phenotype. Genotyping was done by PCR using genomic DNA isolated from the tail tips. All animal experiments were performed according to procedures approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

**Plasmid Construction**

Full-length mouse Yap1 cDNA (isform2) was subcloned into pFLAG (pcMV-Tag 2C, Stratagene), pCDH (pDOC-CMV-MCS, SBI System Biosciences), pEGFP-C1 (Invitrogen), and pAd Track (pAdTrack-CMV, Addgene) vectors, respectively. Full-length Taz and Runx2 were subcloned into pFLAG (pcMV-Tag 2C, Stratagene). Full-length Sox6 and Taz1 were subcloned into pCDNA3.1 Myc- His (−) (Invitrogen) vectors. For shRNA plasmid construction, two different pairs of oligos containing complementary hairpin sequences were synthesized and cloned into pLV-3 (pGLVH1/GFP-Puro, GenePharma) lentiviral vector. The targeted sequences for Yap1 gene were 5′-GGCAA TACGGAAATACAA-3′ and 5′-GGCTGAGTTCCGAAATCT-3′, respectively.

**Skeletal Preparation, X-Ray Analyses and Micro-computed Tomography Analysis**

Embryos and newborns were skinned, eviscerated, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Skeletal preparation was performed as described (McLeod, 1980). For X-ray analyses, mice were anesthetized and radiographed using the Faxitron MX-20 Digital machine (Faxitron X-Ray) at 32 kV for 6,000 ms. Micro-CT analyses were performed using pCT40 (Scanco Medical) with a resolution of 8 μm isometric voxel with 70 kV and 114 μA. Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction was performed for the regions of interest (ROIs) and subjected to bone microarchitecture and mineral density analyses using built-in software from Scanco Medical and published protocol (Zhang et al., 2012).

**Bone Fracture Model**

Unilateral fractures were produced in the right femora as previously described (Lindsey et al., 2010). Briefly, the mice were anesthetized and the hindquarter...
Figure 6. Yap1 Inhibits Chondrocyte Maturation by Regulating Col10a1 Expression

(A) Micromass culture using limb buds isolated from wild-type mice at E12.5 with Yap1 overexpression (A.B., Alcian blue; S.O., Safranin O; A.R.S., Alizarin Red S staining). See also Figure S5.

(B) Gene expression analysis using RNA isolated at day 7 from (A).

(C) Micromass culture with Yap1 knockdown.

(D) Gene expression analysis using RNA isolated at day 7 from (C).

(E and F) Col10a1 expression in primary chondrocytes with Yap1 manipulation as shown.

(G) Col10a1 expression in primary chondrocytes with Runx2 overexpression.

(H–L) Dual luciferase assay of Col10a1 activities using reporter plasmids with 1.5-kb Col10a1 promoter region in primary chondrocytes. See also Figure S6.

(M) Immunofluorescence of primary chondrocytes with Yap1 (green) and Runx2 (red). Scale bar, 30 μm.

(N and O) Immunoprecipitation analysis with (N) Yap1 antibodies and (O) anti-Flag antibodies for pull-down of Runx2 in primary chondrocytes.

(P) Schematic diagram of Runx2 binding domains in the Col10a1 promoter (TSS, transcription start site; BS, binding site).

(Q) ChIP assay in primary chondrocytes using Runx2 antibodies. Each assay was performed in triplicates and repeated for three times independently. Error bars represent SD (**p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; *p < 0.05).
Figure 7. Fracture Healing Is Impaired in the Col2a1-Yap1tg/+ Transgenic Mice and the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre Mutant Mice
(A) X-ray analysis of fractured femora of 3-month-old transgenic mice 2 weeks after fracture injury on the right hind limbs (n = 6 per group). White arrows point to the fracture site where soft callus tissues formed. See also Figure S7.

(B) Safranin O staining of the fracture sites at 2 weeks after injury (n = 6 per group). Scale bars, 1,000 μm (left panel); 60 μm (right panel).

(C) Immunohistochemistry of chondrocyte markers in the callus tissues of respective mice (n = 3 per group) 2 weeks after fracture injuries as shown. Scale bars, 60 μm.

(D) Gene expression analysis using tissues isolated from (B). qRT-PCR analysis was performed in triplicates and repeated three times independently.

(E) 3D micro-CT images of the callus tissues at the fracture sites 4 weeks after surgery (n = 3 per group). Scale bars, 1 mm.

(F) Microarchitecture analysis of bone parameters from (E).

(G–K) Similar analysis using the Mst1c/c; Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice as shown in (A)–(F).

Error bars represent SD (***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; NS, not significant).
was shaved. The leg was fractured using a blunted blade placed at the mid-shaft of the femur. Following fracture, an incision was made on the dorsolateral surface of the femur from the area of the greater trochanter to the epicondyles of the femur through the gluteus superficialis. The two ends of the fractured femur were fixed using a 23G needle. The wound was closed using Polysorb for myofascial closure and staples for skin closure. The animals were randomly assigned to surgical groups, but they were not conducted in a completely blinded fashion. We surgically fractured 12-week-old female wild-type mice, age- and sex-matched Col2a1-YapTflox/flox transgenic mice, and Mst2c/c; Dermo1-Cre mutant mice, respectively, for bone repair analyses. The fracture protocol was approved according to the Animals Ordinance Chapter 340 ([13-392] in DH/HA&P/8/2/1 Pt.32)). At 2 weeks post-operation, mice were anesthetized and radiographed to examine cartilaginous callus formation. Six mice per group were used for X-ray and histological analyses, respectively. Three mice per group were used for RNA extraction and gene expression analyses. At 4 weeks post-operation, three mice per group were used for micro-CT analyses.

**Histological Analyses and Western Blots**

Primary antibodies used in immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence include Phospho-histone H3 (PH3) antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-8656-R) at 1:100, Yap1 antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, 4912s) at 1:50, p-Yap1 antibodies (Cell Signaling, 4911s) at 1:50, Sox9 antibodies (Abcam, ab3697) at 1:50, Col2a1 antibodies (Santa Cruz, sc-28887) at 1:100, Mmp13 antibodies (Abcam, ab39012) at 1:50, Col10a1 antibodies (Abcam, ab58632) at 1:50 and Runx2 antibodies (Cell Signal, 8486S) at 1:150. The immunohistochemistry was performed using Histostain-Plus IHC kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. For western blot analysis, β-Actin antibodies (Santa Cruz, sc-130856) at 1:2,000 were used for normalization, Yap1 antibodies (Cell Signal, 4912s) at 1:1000, p-Yap1 antibodies (Cell Signal, 4911s) at 1:2,000, Runx2 antibodies (Cell Signal, 8486S) at 1:1000, Taz antibodies (BD Pharmingen, 560235) at 1:1,000, and GFP antibodies (Abcam, ab290) at 1:2,000 were used. Procedures were followed as previously described (Topol et al., 2003). Anti-Flag M2 affinity gel (Sigma, A2220) was used for immunoprecipitation.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

Primary chondrocytes (1 × 10^6 cells) were fixed with 1% formaldehyde directly in culture medium at 37°C for 10 min. Cells were subsequently quenched by glycine with final concentration of 125 mM for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed and lysed before sonication. Samples were sonicated for 4912s) at 1:100, Runx2 antibodies (Cell Signal, 4886S) at 1:1000, Taz antibodies (BD Pharmingen, 560235) at 1:1,000, and GFP antibodies (Abcam, ab290) at 1:2,000 were used. Procedures were followed as previously described (Topol et al., 2003). Anti-Flag M2 affinity gel (Sigma, A2220) was used for immunoprecipitation.

**RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated using the TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was performed using M-MLV reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). qPCR was carried out using a Platinum SYBR Green kit (Applied Biosystems). Samples were normalized with Gapdh. Fold difference was determined by 2^ΔΔCT methods.

**Dual Luciferase Assay**

Primary chondrocytes were transfected with either pGL3-basic, pGL3-Sox6 (1.2 kb), or pGL3-Col10a1 (1.5 kb) luciferase reporters together with Flag-con, Flag-Yap1, Flag-Runx2, Flag-Taz, shneg, or shYap1 using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen), pRL-TK vector (Promega) with Renilla was co-transfected as reference controls. Cells were subjected to luciferase activity measurement as described in dual luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega).

**Statistical Analyses**

Two-tailed Student’s t test was used for comparison between two groups as shown by brackets. Results are represented as mean ± SD. p values <0.05 were considered to be significant. No significant differences in variance between groups were detected. All analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism software (v.5.0). No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. The inclusion criteria were the appropriate genotype of the mice.
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